



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/815,305	03/23/2001	Toshiaki Hongo	P 0279274 EL01001CDC	4649

909 7590 06/04/2003
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP
P.O. BOX 10500
MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER

ALEJANDRO MULERO, LUZ L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1763

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003

12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/815,305

Applicant(s)

HONGO ET AL.

Examiner

Luz L. Alejandro

Art Unit

1763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 March 2003.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 4-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-13 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,4-9 and 14-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 6-7, 14, 17-18, 21, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomoyasu et al., U.S. Patent 5,900,103.

Tomoyasu et al. shows the invention as claimed including a plasma processing apparatus 700 for applying a plasma process to an object to be processed, the plasma process apparatus comprising: a process chamber 710 in which the object to be processed is subjected to the plasma process; a gas introducing part (see fig. 37) connected to said process chamber so as to introduce a reactant gas into said process

Art Unit: 1763

chamber and including an inlet port 738A and a an outlet port 750A; a first vacuum pump connected to said process chamber through an exhaust line 760 so as to evacuate gas from said process chamber so that said process chamber is maintained at negative pressure; and a gas-evacuating arrangement 750A connected to said gas-introducing part so as to evacuate the reactant gas from said gas-introducing part (see figs. 35 and 37 and col. 16-line 42 to col. 18-line 25).

With respect to claim 6, Tomoyasu et al. discloses a gas introducing part comprising a dielectric plate 774 and a shower plate 780a provided on a top of said process chamber so as to introduce the reactant gas from the top of said process chamber, a gas passage 770 being formed between said dielectric plate and said shower plate so that the reactant gas flows through the gas passage and is introduced into said process chamber through said shower plate. Additionally, Tomoyasu et al. also shows wherein the dielectric plate has an inlet port connected to said gas passage so as to supply the reactant gas to said gas passage, and said gas passage has an outlet port to which said gas-evacuating arrangement is connected (see fig. 37).

Tomoyasu et al. is applied as above but lacks anticipation of wherein said gas-evacuating arrangement comprises a second vacuum pump connected to said gas-introducing part, and wherein said gas-evacuating arrangement comprises a bypass passage which connects said gas-introducing part to said first vacuum pump by bypassing said process chamber. However, in view of the disclosure provided by Tomoyasu et al. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to either have a second vacuum pump to evacuate the gas-

introducing part in order to allow for separate controllability to evacuate either the process chamber or the gas introduction part at desired times, or to connect the gas-introducing part via a bypass to the first vacuum pump so as to reduce the overall size and complexity of the apparatus of Tomoyasu et al..

Regarding the particular reactant or discharge gasses, these limitations are directed to method limitations rather than apparatus limitations. Since an apparatus is being claimed as the instant invention, the method teachings are not considered to be the matter at hand, since a variety of methods can be done with the apparatus. The method limitations are viewed as intended uses which do not further limit, and therefore do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention. The apparatus of Tomoyasu et al. is capable of using the reactant and/or discharge gasses as claimed.

Claims 4-5, 15-16, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomoyasu et al., U.S. Patent 5,900,103 in view of Li et al., U.S. Patent 5,772,771.

Tomoyasu et al. is applied as above but lacks anticipation of wherein said gas-introducing part has a plurality of circumferentially arranged nozzles through which the reactant gas is introduced and additionally comprises: at least one inlet port from which the reactant gas is supplied, an annular gas passage connected to said inlet port so that the reactant gas supplied from the inlet port is supplied to said plurality of nozzles by flowing through said annular gas passage, and an outlet port provided to said annular gas passage so that said gas-evacuating arrangement is connected thereto. Li et al.

Art Unit: 1763

discloses a gas introducing part in a sidewall of the chamber having a plurality of circumferentially arranged nozzles 34, and at least one inlet port 80 from which the reactant gas is supplied, an annular gas passage 36 connected to said inlet port so that the reactant gas supplied from the inlet port is supplied to said plurality of nozzles 34 by flowing through said annular gas passage, and an outlet port 82 provided to said annular gas passage so that said gas-evacuating arrangement is connected thereto (see figs. 1, 4-5, and col. 3-line 20 to col. 5-line 37). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tomoyasu et al. so as to include the gas introducing part of Li et al. because this will allow for enhanced cleaning of the nozzles.

Claims 1, 6-9, 14, 17-21, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tei et al., 2002/0011215 A1 in view of Tomoyasu et al., U.S. Patent 5,900,103.

Tei et al. shows the invention substantially as claimed including a plasma processing apparatus for applying a plasma process to an object to be processed, the plasma processing apparatus comprising: a process chamber 101 in which the object to be processed is subjected to the plasma process; a gas introducing part connected to said process chamber so as to introduce a reactant gas into said process chamber and including a dielectric plate 113 and a shower plate 106 provided on a top portion of said process chamber so as to introduce the reactant gas from the top of the process chamber, a gas passage being formed between the shower and dielectric plates so that

the reactant gas flows through the gas passage and is introduced into said process chamber through said shower plate; and a first vacuum pump connected to said process chamber through said exhaust 102 so as to evacuate gas from said process chamber so that said process chamber is maintained at a negative pressure (see fig. 1 and paragraphs 0061-0077). Additionally, Tei et al. discloses additional embodiments with a slot antenna having a plurality of slits so as to guide a microwave having a predetermined frequency and where the density of slits is substantially uniform in a radial direction of said slot antenna (see paragraphs 103-108).

Tei et al. fails to expressly disclose a gas-evacuating arrangement connected to said gas-introducing part so as to evacuate the reactant gas from said gas-introducing part or wherein said gas-evacuating arrangement comprises a second vacuum pump connected to said gas-introducing part, and wherein said gas-evacuating arrangement comprises a bypass passage which connects said gas-introducing part to said first vacuum pump by bypassing said process chamber. Tomoyasu et al., U.S. Patent 5,900,103 discloses a gas evacuating arrangement 750A (see Fig. 37) connected to a gas-introducing part so as to evacuate the gas from the gas-introducing part. In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tei et al. so as to include a gas evacuating arrangement in the gas introducing part so as to eliminate unnecessary gas from the gas introducing part (see col. 18-lines 19-21 of Tomoyasu et al.). Furthermore, in view of the disclosure provided by Tomoyasu et al. it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to either have a second

vacuum pump to evacuate the gas-introducing part in order to allow for separate controllability to evacuate either the process chamber or the gas introduction part at desired times, or to connect the gas-introducing part via a bypass to the first vacuum pump so as to reduce the overall size and complexity of the apparatus of Tei et al. modified by Tomoyasu et al..

Regarding the particular reactant or discharge gasses, these limitations are directed to method limitations rather than apparatus limitations. Since an apparatus is being claimed as the instant invention, the method teachings are not considered to be the matter at hand, since a variety of methods can be done with the apparatus. The method limitations are viewed as intended uses which do not further limit, and therefore do not patentably distinguish the claimed invention. The apparatus of Tomoyasu et al. is capable of using the reactant and/or discharge gasses as claimed.

Claims 4-5, 15-16, and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tei et al., 2002/0011215 A1 in view of Tomoyasu et al., U.S. Patent 5,900,103 in view of Li et al., U.S. Patent 5,772,771.

Tei et al. and Tomoyasu et al. are applied as above but lacks anticipation of wherein said gas-introducing part has a plurality of circumferentially arranged nozzles through which the reactant gas is introduced and additionally comprises: at least one inlet port from which the reactant gas is supplied, an annular gas passage connected to said inlet port so that the reactant gas supplied from the inlet port is supplied to said

plurality of nozzles by flowing through said annular gas passage, and an outlet port provided to said annular gas passage so that said gas-evacuating arrangement is connected thereto. Li et al. discloses a gas introducing part in a sidewall of the chamber having a plurality of circumferentially arranged nozzles 34, and at least one inlet port 80 from which the reactant gas is supplied, an annular gas passage 36 connected to said inlet port so that the reactant gas supplied from the inlet port is supplied to said plurality of nozzles 34 by flowing through said annular gas passage, and an outlet port 82 provided to said annular gas passage so that said gas-evacuating arrangement is connected thereto (see figs. 1, 4-5, and col. 3-line 20 to col. 5-line 37). In view of this disclosure, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Tei et al. modified by Tomoyasu et al. so as to include the gas introducing part of Li et al. because this will allow for enhanced cleaning of the nozzles.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03-08-03 have been fully considered but are not persuasive with respect to the Tomoyasu et al. reference. Applicant argues that the Tomoyasu et al. reference, U.S. Patent 5,900,103 shows removing unnecessary gas components using a burner but fails to disclose removing the gas components through use of a vacuum pump and any statement of obvious with respect to using a vacuum pump is impermissible hindsight. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it

Art Unit: 1763

must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971). For example, while the examiner agrees that a burner is used in the Tomoyasu et al. reference, the examiner disagrees that the use of a vacuum pump to remove the gas, for example, through outlet 750A in Figure 37 would have been unobvious. Clearly, the use of a vacuum pump to remove the gas through outlet 750A would have been well within the understanding of one of ordinary skill in the art. For example, in the embodiment of Figure 1, exhaust pump 45 is used to exhaust gas through outlet 41 (see col. 5-lines 10-19). The examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the motivation to combine comes from the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art, as described above.

With respect to the Li et al. reference, it is clear that outlet port 82 is provided to the annular gas passage 36 through other pipes, for example, 70 (see fig. 1). However,

it is clear that the claim does not require that the outlet port be connected directly to the annular passage without any intervening piping.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luz L. Alejandro whose telephone number is 703-305-4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory L. Mills can be reached on 703-308-1633. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.



Luz L. Alejandro
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1763

June 3, 2003